

VIth IPA REGIONAL PUBLIC PROCUREMENT CONFERENCE

UTILITIES: FROM EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS TO LIBERALISATION - THE RATIONALE FOR FLEXIBILITY IN DIRECTIVE 2004/17/EC

Colin MAUND
Hellios Information, UK



Flexibility in the Utilities Regime Content

- The Utilities in Europe legislative development
- 2. Changes in the Utilities Marketplace
- 3. Impact on procurement
- 4. What are the reasons for more liberal treatment
- Differences between the Utilities and Classic sectors
- 6. Details of the Differences
- Lessons learnt

Utilities in Europe Legislative Development

- Public procurement legislation has existed in the EU since the 1970's
- The original rules were basic, unenforceable and did not include utility companies (known as the Excluded Sectors)
- With the introduction of the Single Market in 1993 public procurement came to be seen as a major weakness which needed to be addressed
- The utilities were defined as the Water, Energy,
 Transport and Telecommunications Industries

Meanwhile..... The Utilities Were Changing...

- Most utilities were state-owned or mutualised
- Most utilities were based on geographical monopolies
- Prices were set by regulators not the market
- Utilities were under pressure to act in the national interest and therefore under pressure to buy from national champions
- No real economic drivers most operated on a cost plus basis

Utilities Were Changing... To..

- Privatised organisations with shareholders
- Split up on functional lines eg breaking transmission from generation
- With many monopoly elements removed or opened to competition
- With prices set by the market
- Many international groups emerged who owned the utilities in a variety of countries
- Move from pressure to allocate a scarce resource to provide service at lowest cost

Impact on Procurement Massive Changes Occurred

- Move from national champions to best provider
- International groups had less local affiliations
- Reduction in power of trade associations and national specifications
- Move from certainty of supply to economic advantage
- Many companies have changed ownership many times leading to changes of policy and less state influence

These Changes Were Envisaged Utilities Argued for Flexibility

- Initially utilities argued that they should be completely excluded from the rules
- They argued that they were different from the public sector:
 - Less under Government influence to buy nationally
 - More risk of failure with complex processes and installations
 - Contracts were larger, more complex and more restricted

Differences Between The Classic and Utilities Regimes

- The utilities regime is more flexible:
 - Automatic exclusion for liberalised sectors
 - Higher thresholds
 - Framework Agreements
 - Qualification Systems
 - Use of negotiated procedures
 - Exclusion for affiliated companies
 - Exclusion for goods for re-sale or re-lease

Exclusions

- Organisations where the basic conditions for liberalisation have been met are automatically excluded in some sectors eg energy whereas some sectors have been wholly excluded including telecommunications.
- Thresholds are higher in the Utilities meaning fewer contracts are covered
- Contracts to affiliates were excluded on grounds that these were partly commercial bodies
- Goods for re-sale/re-lease excluded to provide a level playing field

More Flexible Processes

- Framework agreements possible to simply award contracts where the framework has been advertised – unlike the classic sectors
- Qualification systems uniquely utilities are allowed to advertise in OJEU and set up a standing list of qualified suppliers in multiple stages and draw from it without a further call for competition
- Negotiated procedure utilities are permitted greater use of the negotiated procedure

Lessons Learnt

- The utility rules have been no less successful than the public sector rules
- There is an argument that the public sector should be liberalised to copy the utilities
- Flexibility has been tweaked (some conditions becoming tougher others more flexible)
- New systems and processes have evolved to take account of the laws
- It is difficult for the utilities to sustain the argument that the rules were going to be commercially unfair and impossible to operate